IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 19TH JULY 2007 / 28TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 20839 of 2004(E)

PETITIONER:

HALEEMA BEEVI, W/O. SULAIMAN,
AGED 34 YEARS, RESIDING AT VILAYILVEEDU,KONNIYOOR,
PUNALAL P.O., NEDUMANGAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.ABRAHAM MATHEW (VETTOOR)
SRI.K.P.SHAHUL HAMEED

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE KERALA STATE FINANCIAL CORPORATION,
VELLAYAMBALAM BRANCH, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF MANAGER.

2. THE KERALA STATE FINANCIAL CORPORATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

3. THE SMALL INDUSTRIES DEVELOPMENT BANK OF
INDIA, RAVIPURAM, ERNAKULAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS
REGIONAL MANAGER.

BY/

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

KURIAN JOSEPH , J

==========

W.P.(C) NO. 20839 OF 2004

==========

Dated this the 19th day of July, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The writ petition is filed with the following prayers:

i. Issue a writ of certiorari or other appropriate writs orders or directions quashing Ext.P4.

ii. Issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writs orders or directions commanding the respondents 1 and 2 to extend the benefits under Ext.P1 to P3 one time settlement Scheme to the petitioner and intimate the balance on crediting all such remittance made from 11.6.1999 onwards towards the NPA account.

iii. Declare that the one time settlement Scheme is applicable to the respondents 1 to 3 that petitioner is entitled to the entire benefits under Ext.P1 to P3 schemes and to hold that the respondents 1 and 2 are not entitled to recover any further amount over and above what has been announced under the one time settlement scheme.

2. Since the impugned proceedings are issued only by the 1st respondent, it is for the petitioner to pursue the matter before the 2nd respondent, it is pointed out. The writ petition is hence disposed of as follows:

In the event of the petitioner approaching the 2nd respondent, the matter will be duly examined by the 2nd respondent and appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the matter for settlement of the matter will be taken by the 2nd respondent with notice to the petitioner within a period of another four months.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

rv